MESSRS. C. N. NARASINGA RAO and B. L. BYANNA (Bangalore City Municipality); T. MADIAH GOWDA and SIDDE GOWDA (Channapatna Taluk); B. CHOODE GOWDA (Closepet Taluk;) GURUKAR VENKATARAMANE GOWDA, V. L. CHAME GOWDA and S. KARIYAPPA (Kankanhalli Taluk); B. MALLAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk); R. DASAPPA and A. HANUMANTHARAYAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); K. NARAYANA SETTY (Magadi Municipality); B. MUNIVENKATAPPA, A. G. RAMIAH REDDY and N. APPANNA REDDY (Bangalore Taluk); K. P. PARASIVIAH (Kankanhalli Municipality); PATEL HONNASIDDIAH and T. THIMMARAYAPPA (Magadi Taluk); PATEL VEERE GOWDA and PATEL HANUME GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); PATEL NARAYANA GOWDA and GURUSWAMY GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); H. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO and B M. MUNISWAMAPPA (Special Interests) and S. MUNIVEKATAPPA and A. DASAPPA (Minorities). —

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the names of cities, towns and villages in the State, wherein within the last two years ending with February 1938, orders have been placed by officers under Sections 39, 40 and 41 of the Mysore Police Act and Section 144 of the Criminal Procedure Code; the terms of these orders and the duration of the restrictions imposed under each of them and the status of the officers who passed them;

(*b*) in how many instances during the said period, have prosecutions been launched under Section 107 of the Criminal Procedure Code. asking for securities for good behaviour;

(*c*) how many prosecutions have been launched under Section 124-A of the Penal Code during the period of two years ending with February 1938;

(*d*) whether the Government is aware that in a prosecution launched in Shimoga under Section 107, Criminal Procedure Code, the Sessions Judge has passed strictures on the Police and has found that there was no case made out;

(*e*) the action Government propose to take against such Police Officers who are found guilty of either dereliction of duty, of misbehaviour or of high-handedness ?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—2. (*a*) It is not considered that any useful purpose will be served at present by compiling the list with all the details asked for. It will also take time to collect correct details.

(*b*) Eleven cases against 27 persons were put up.

(*c*) Twelve cases were put up against 10 persons.

(*d*) No strictures were passed against the police in the case referred to.

(*e*) This does not arise in view of the reply given to question (*d*).

MESSRS. S. KARIAPPA, GURUKAR VENKATARAMANE GOWDA and V. L. CHAME GOWDA (Kankanhalli Taluk); T. MADIAH GOWDA and SIDDE GOWDA (Channapatna Taluk); B. CHOODE GOWDA (Closepet Taluk); C. N. NARASINGA RAO and B. L. BYANNA (Bangalore City Municipality); B. MALLAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk); R DASAPPA and A. HANUMANTHARAYAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); K. NARAYANA SETTY (Magadi Municipality; B. MUNIVENKATAPPA, A. G. RAMIAH REDDY and N. APPANNA REDDY (Bangalore Taluk); K. P. PARASIVIAH (Kankanhalli Municipality); PATEL HONNASIDDIAH and

T. THIMMARAYAPPA (Magadi Taluk); PATEL VEERE GOWDA and PATEL HANUME GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); PATEL NARAYANA GOWDA and GURUSWAMY GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); H. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO and B. M. MUNISWAMAPPA (Special Interests) and S. MUNIVENKATAPPA and A. DASAPPA (Minorities).—

Q.—4. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of gun licences issued for protection purposes in Bangalore District (particulars to be given talukwar for the current year);

(*b*) the number at the beginning of the year 1934-35 (particulars to be furnished talukwar);

(*c*) the number of licences cancelled every year (talukwar particulars to be furnished up to date commencing from the year 1934-35);

(*d*) the number of licences cancelled for failure to apply for renewal (talukwar particulars to be furnished yearwar);

(*e*) the various grounds on which the licences were cancelled;

(*f*) the grounds on which licences were cancelled in Kankanhalli Taluk;

(*g*) the number of licences sent to the licensees direct from the office of the District Magistrate and the number of licences delivered to the licensees through the local police (particulars to be furnished talukwar and yearwar);

(*h*) out of the licences sent from the office of the District Magistrate direct to the licensees, (i) how many licences were not received by the licensees, and (ii) on that ground, how many duplicate licences were issued on the production of fresh stamp papers (particulars to be furnished talukwar and salwar);

(*i*) the number of applications received for fresh grants and the number of fresh licences issued annually (particulars to be furnished talukwar and salwar from 1933-34)?

CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.—

A.—4. (*a*) to (*d*) *Vide* statements attached. (Appendix).

(*e*) Licences were cancelled—

1. when the licensees were deceased,
2. when they were too old to handle the weapon,
3. when their character was reported to be bad,
4. when they themselves offered that their licences may be cancelled,
5. when they did not possess weapons though they were holders of licences,
6. when they did not present the licences for renewal,
7. when it was found that they were incompetent to possess them.

(*f*) For one or other reasons mentioned above.

(*g*)—

	1934	*1935*	*1936*	*1937*	*1938*
Bangalore City	443	352	433	450	334
Bangalore Cant.	67	64	77	44	34
Bangalore Taluk	253	348	352	242	215
Hoskote „	65	93	64	67	21
Dodballapur „	41	40	27	38	43
Devanhalli „	28	36	54	48	19
Magadi „	89	57	83	61	55
Nelamangala „	33	21	139	34	33

	1934	1935	1936	1937	1938
Closepet Taluk	44	49	67	62	41
Channapatna ,,	38	28	29	27	16
Anekal ,,	10	33	37	68	21
Kankanhalli ,,	50	60	81	77	28

No licences were delivered to the parties through the local poli ec.

(*h*) No such instances are noticed.

(*i*) *Vide* statement attached. (Appendix).

MR. R. P. RAJANNA (Goribidnur Taluk).—

Q.—16. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is prescribed that the cost of repairs in respect of sluices, waste weirs and stone works, etc., should be met from the Irrigation Cess Fund ;

(*b*) the number of tanks in respect of which such repairs are to be effected in Kolar District ;

(*c*) whether it is possible for Government to give the names of villages containing such tanks ;

(*d*) whether a programme is drawn for repairing such tanks ;

(*e*) whether the programme includes the names of all such villages ;

(*f*) whether the extent of the loss suffered by the raiyats on account of the non-repair of these tanks was brought to their notice ;

(*g*) if so, the villages and tanks in regard to which such repair works were undertaken during the past ten years ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.—

A.—16. (*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*b*) It is difficult to give correct information.

(*c*) *Vide* answer to (*b*) above.

(*d*) The answer is in the affirmative Lists of all tanks whose sluices are not completely in good order are called for. Their receipt from local officers is awaited.

(*e*) It includes only the names of tanks whose repairs are considered urgent and should be taken up during the year in question

(*f*) Suitable action is taken whenever hard cases are brought to notice, or noticed during inspection by the inspecting officers.

(*g*) The preparation of the statement of details of the names of villages and tanks will involve much time and labour. Information regarding the number of tanks repaired during the past 5 years is given below :—

1933–1934	...	...	193
1934–1935	...	...	103
1935–1936	...	...	40
1936–1937	...	...	106
1937–1938	...	...	103

MR. A. G. MUDDARACHAPPA (Bowringpet Municipality).—

Q.—17. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of persons who were given Government appointments since the introduction of the new Central Recruitment Board Rules ;

(*b*) how many of these appointed are Brahmins ;

(*c*) how many belonged to other communities ;

(*d*) has any period been prescribed for confirming such recruits from the date of the appointment ;

(*e*) what is the period ;